

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IOAC)

1St Floor, Room No. 217, Aruna Ranjit Chandra Hall PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Contact no. 0172-2544022

Website: http://iqac.puchd.ac.in, Email.Id: iqac@pu.ac.in

Ref. No D-10AC/20/208

Dean of University Instruction Panjab University Chandigarh

Date. 9-9-2020

Subject: Programme outcomes/graduate attributes integrated into the assessment process

Respected Sir,

As per our earlier interaction and during IQAC webinar of quality enhancement, it was informed to all the departments that they need to have Programme outcomes, Programme specific outcomes and course outcomes for all the programs running in that department. Moreover, these outcomes were to be uploaded to department webpage and also to be published in other related documents like handbook of information. However, not much has been heard from the departments regarding action taken with respect to this request of NAAC. Under the circumstances university is bound to cut the sorry figure in the upcoming NAAC inspection.

You are therefore requested to direct the departments of the university to comply with this specific parameter and do the needful. E. Mail to depts

Director, IQAC

Copy to VC

Annexure:

| | Learning Outcomes | Weightage |
|--------|--|-----------|
| 2.6.1 | | |
| QıM | The institution has stated learning outcomes (generic and programme specific)/graduate attributes which are integrated into the assessment process and widely publicized through the website and other documents | 10 |
| | Write description in maximum of 500 words | |
| | File Description | |
| | Upload any additional information | |
| | Paste link for Additional Information | |
| | Upload COs for all courses (exemplars from Glossary) | |
| 2.6.2 | Attainment of Programme outcomes, Programme specific outcomes | 10 |
| Q_1M | and course outcomes are evaluated by the institution | 10 |
| | Describe the method of measuring the level of attainment of POs, PSOs and COs in not more than 500 words. | |
| | File Description | |
| | Upload any additional information | |
| | Paste link for Additional Information | |



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

1St Floor, Room No. 217, Aruna Ranjit Chandra Hall PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH Contact no. 0172-253(4074), 0172-2544022

Website: http://iqac.puchd.ac.in, Email. Id: iqac@pu.ac.in

Ref. No. D-10AC/20/211

Dean University Instruction, Panjab University, Chandigarh

Sub: Mandate to maintain outgoing student's records at the department level (requirements of NAAC)

Resp. Sir,

As per the requirement of NAAC (Criterion 5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.2.3), all the departments are mandated to keep a record of their outgoing students year wise (from 2015 onward) under the following headings

- 1. Students qualifying in state/ national/ international level examinations (e.g.: $NET/SLET/GATE/GMAT/CAT/GRE/TOEFL/Civil Services/State\ government\ examinations)\ and$ the qualifying certificate of the outgoing students year wise (the last 5 years).
- Maintain a record of the placement of outgoing students along with the placement details 2. such as the name of the company, compensation, etc. year wise. In addition, there is a need to clarify to the departments that the placement record is not limited to the placements on campus but also the placements off campus by the students themselves and selfemployment. Departments giving placement levels of anything less than 100 percent means that their students are unemployed.
- The departments are also required to maintain a record of the graduated students who have 3. progressed to higher education and supporting documents such as admission letters or identity cards for selected students progressing to higher education.
- Record of economic weaker students should be maintained at department level. 4.

The same data has been asked from the departments repeatedly at our end. However, turn in of the data has been very poor. Therefore, you are requested to direct the department to ensure the maintenance of these records and turn in these records to IQAC in time as and when asked. It is pertinent to mention here that in ranking like NIRF, P.U. has scored very badly on account of these E Mail department on Fig alload Onelan N

Enclosed: NAAC Annexure (Criterion 5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.2.3, maintain outgoing student's records)

| Metric No. | | Weightage |
|------------------|--|-----------|
| | | |
| | | |
| 5.2.1 | Average percentage of students qualifying in state/ national/ | 10 |
| л | international level examinations during the last five years | |
| - | (eg: NET/SLET/GATE/GMAT/CAT/GRE/TOEFL/Civil Services/State | e |
| Q _n M | government examinations) | |
| | | |
| | a control of the last constitution in state / notional / international | |
| | 5.2.1.1: Number of students qualifying in state/ national/ international level examinations (eg: NET/SLET/GATE/GMAT/CAT/GRE/TOEFL/ Civil | |
| | services/State government examinations) year wise during the last five | |
| | years | |
| | Year | |
| | | |
| | Number | |
| | | |
| | 5.2.1.2: Number of students appearing in state/ national/ international | |
| | level examinations (eg: NET/SLET/GATE/GMAT/CAT/GRE/TOEFL/ Civil | |
| | Services/State government examinations) year wise during the last five years | |
| | | |
| | Year | |
| | Number | 3 |
| | | |
| | Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) | |
| | Number of students selected to | |
| | | |
| | • NET | |
| | • SLET • GATE | |
| | • GMAT | |
| | • CAT | |
| e | • GRE | |
| 130 | • TOEFL | |
| | Civil ServicesState government examinations | |
| | State government examinations | |
| | | 9 |
| | | |

| | Formula: | |
|--------|--|----|
| | Percentage per year = Number of students qualifying in state,national,international level exams Number of students appeared for the state,national,International level exams | |
| | Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage per year}{5}$ | |
| | File Description (Upload) | |
| | Upload supporting data for the same | |
| | Any additional information | |
| | Number of students qualifying in state/ national/ international level | |
| 5.2.2 | examinations during the last five years (Data Template) | |
| J.Z.Z | Average percentage of placement of outgoing students during the last five years | 15 |
| Q_nM | | |
| | 5.2.2.1: Number of outgoing students placed year wise during the last five years | |
| | Year | |
| | Number | |
| | Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) | |
| | Name of the employer with contact details Number of students placed | |
| | Formula: | |
| | Percentage per year = $\frac{\text{Number of outgoing students placed}}{\text{Number of outgoing students}} \times 100$ | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage per year}{5}$ | |
| | | |

| | Upload any additional information | |
|--------|---|----|
| | Details of student placement during the last five years (Data Template) | |
| 5.2.3 | Percentage of recently graduated students who have progressed to higher education (previous graduating batch) | 15 |
| Q_nM | 5.2.3.1: Number of outgoing students progressing to higher education | |
| | Data Requirement : (As per Data Template) | |
| | Number of students proceeding from | |
| | UG to PG PG to MPhil PG to PhD MPhil to PhD PhD to Post doctoral | |
| | Formula: | |
| | Number of outgoing students progressing to higher education Total number of final year students X100 | |
| | File Description (Upload) | |
| | Upload supporting data for student/alumni Any additional information Details of student progression to higher education (Data Template) | |